

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Barry Markwitz, et. al. Application No.: 10 / 633,581 Group No.: Filed: August 5, 2003 Examiner: For: Guard Tour System

**Commissioner for Patents** 

	O. Box exandri	1450 a, VA 22313-1450				
		STAT	rus inquiry			
1	WARNING		Notice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG			
1.	More	than41 months have pa	assed since			
	X	NEW APPLICATIONS				
		the filing of this application on <u>August 5, 2003</u> .				
		No communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating action on this application.				
		AMENDED APPLICATIONS	AMENDED APPLICATIONS			
		the filing of a response on _	•			
		No further communication had Office.	as been received from the Patent and Trademark			
		APPEALED APPLICATION				
		The Appeal Brief was file	ed on			
		(When using Express Mail, the	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; certification is optional.)			
l h	ereby cer	tify that, on the date shown below, th	nis correspondence is being:			
			MAILING .			
(X)	•	d with the United States Postal Servic 0, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	e in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.			
		37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *			
X	with suf	icient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"  Mailing Label No			
		TE	ANSMISSION			
	facsimile	transmitted to the Patent and Trade				
_			James a. Hardale			
	2/2	/07	Signature			
Ual	e: <u>2/2</u>	<u> </u>	James A. Hudak			
			(type or print name of person certifying)			
٠.	nly the di	ate of filing (\$ 1.6) will be the date us	ed in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date			

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 1 of 3)

on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

## (check and complete applicable items below) An Examiner's Answer was mailed on \_\_\_\_\_\_. A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on \_\_\_\_\_\_. ALLOWED APPLICATIONS the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on 2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided. NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows: "NEW APPLICATION"

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

## "AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filling of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER		
	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER		
Reg. No.: 27,340	James A. Hudak		
Tel. No.: ( 216 ) 292-3900	(type or print name of practitioner) 29425 Chagrin Boulevard Suite #304		
( = = = , = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	P.O. Address		
Customer No.:	Cleveland, Ohio 44122	_	

## ·STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLICA	ATIO	N SERIAL NO.	/	IS CUR	RENTLY	
	ASS	SIGNED TO GROU	P	AND AWA	ITS:	
		ACTION BY TH	E EXAMINER.			
		APPLICANT'S R	RESPONSE TO	THE OFFICE AC	TION MAILED	
				···		
APPEAL	. NO					
	IS A	WAITING ACTION	BY THE BOA	RD OF PATENT AP	PEALS AND INTERFER	}-
	ENC	CES			,	
		DATE OF HEARIN	IG EXPECTED	)	<del></del> ·	
		DECISION EXPEC	TED			